

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 SA MUSIC, LLC, *et al.*,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 AMAZON.COM, INC., *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-00105-RAJ-JRC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

16 This matter is before the Court on referral from the District Court. *See* Dkt. 30.

17 Plaintiffs in three pending copyright infringement actions filed an unopposed motion to
18 consolidate this action with two other related actions brought against the same defendants for all
19 pretrial proceedings: (1) *Ray Henderson Music Co., Inc. et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.*, No.
20 2:20-cv-00106-RSM-MLP; and (2) *Four Jays Music Co. et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.*, No.
21 2:20-cv-00107-BAT. *See* Dkt. 35.

22 Plaintiffs in each of the three related actions state that they have consulted with
23 defendants, who do not oppose this motion or have otherwise not objected to it. *See* Dkts. 35, at

1 5; 35-1, at 3. However, this motion is not unopposed or not objected to by defendant Limitless
2 Int. Recordings (“Limitless”)—nor could it be, as defendant Limitless has yet to appear in any of
3 these three matters. *See SA Music LLC*, 2:20-cv-00105-RAJ-JRC; *Ray Henderson Music Co.*,
4 No. 2:20-cv-00106-RSM-MLP; *Four Jays Music Co.*, No. 2:20-cv-00107-BAT. Without
5 knowing whether or not defendant Limitless opposes the consolidation of pre-trial proceedings
6 for these three matters, the Court will not grant plaintiffs’ motion at this time.

7 Accordingly, plaintiffs’ unopposed motion to consolidate (Dkt. 35) is denied without
8 prejudice to their ability to refile upon a proper showing that all parties affected have stipulated
9 or are unopposed to consolidation.

10 Dated this 21st day of May, 2020.



11
12
13 J. Richard Creatura
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24